United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

| NRC Eligi App | CS Entry Only ible: YI roved: YI | NO N |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Limi | ited Resource | Area: YES NO X |
| isor l | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 3 | | , |
| cation Info | rmation | |
| gressional I | District:7_ | |
| 17 T 8 | 8S | R_8W |
| | | |
| each: 21 | 100 T F | |
| | | |
| | | AAA MAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA |
| te Evaluati | ion | |
| | | |
| | NO | Remarks |
| X | | Hurricane Rita |
| X | | *************************************** |
| | | |
| Х | | |
| | | |
| | | Restrict Flow |
| X | | Restricted flow poses flood hazaard |
| X | | • |
| 100 30 7 40 00 | \$ 155605 5745 4845 O | |
| X | | |
| X | 44 | - mta |
| 1 | ***** | |
| | | |
| of the affe | ected nonulation | on have been informed of the EWP |
| s of the affe | ected population | on have been informed of the EWP |
| s of the affe | ected population | on have been informed of the EWP |
| s of the affe | ected population | on have been informed of the EWP |
| | er:Elig App Fund Lim sor | cation Information gressional District:7_ T 8S each:2100 LF te Evaluation EWP assistance. YES NO X X X X X X X X X X X X |

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 6 and 9 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this

| DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u> | |
|----------------------------|--|
|----------------------------|--|

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Remove the fallen trees and debris from the drainage channel using conventional equipment from the south top bank of the channel. Removed debris will be disposed of by hauling to approved disposal facility, chipping and depositing along side of channel, or by burning.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$

| | Section 1F NRCS State Of | fice Review and Approval |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Reviewed By: | State EWP Program Manager | Date Reviewed: 4/5/06 |
| Approved By: | State Conservationist | Date Approved: |

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement Is made In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following Information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95-334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information including the time for reviewing Instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, programs.)

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-941 0 or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202)720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 or the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u>

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

| 2A Resource | 2B Existing Condition | 20 | C Alternatives and Effe | ets |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Concerns | | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| | | Remove tree tops, tree trunks, logs and other debris from one side of drainage system and haul offsite. | No removal of tree tops, tree trunks, tree root balls and other debris from drainage system. | Remove tree tops, tree trunks, tree root balls and other debris from both sides of drainage system, pile and burn. |
| | | 2 | D Effects of Alternative | es |
| Soil | | | 222000 0112100110011 | |
| Bank erosion | 10 tons/acre | 5 tons/acre | 10 tons/acre | 5 tons/acre |
| Compaction | n/a | Slight increase due to equipment | n/a | Moderate increase due to equipment |
| Water | | | | |
| Flooding | Property and homes upstream subject to flooding from blockages Stream flow is minimally | Upsteam flooding significantly reduced during peak rainfall events once debris is removed Removal of root balls will | Property and homes subject to continued flooding during rainfall events | Upsteam flooding significantly reduced during peak rainfall events once debris is removed Removal of root balls will |
| sediments and turbidity | impacted in various points along drainage system from sediment and existing root balls will increase turbidity | significantly reduce sediments entering into drainage system and reduce scouring effects | turbidity will increase due to scouring | significantly reduce sediments entering into drainage system and reduce scouring effects |
| Stream health (SVAP) | 4.5 (Poor) | 5.2 (Poor) | 4.5 (Poor) | 5.2 (Poor) |
| Air | | | | |
| Particulate matter | n/a | n/a | n/a | Temporary increase in particulate matter above PM 10 from burning/smoke |
| Plant | | | | burning/smoke |
| Plant health | Many riparian trees are wind blown. Natural regeneration will occur where the canopy has been opened to sunlight | Removal of moderate debris will reduce plant suppression and moderately increase diversity of species. | Plant health will be suppressed until abundant debris decays. | Removal of moderate debris to increase plant health will moderately increase diversity of species. |
| Riparian Area | Moderate damage has occurred through loss of large trees | Removal of debris will allow for moderate increase in re-vegetative processes | Invasive species are present and may become predominate, causing impacts on benefits of buffer | Removal of debris will moderately increase benefits of buffer |
| Animal | | | | |
| Aquatic life | Increased debris has caused stagnant water pools and traditional patterns have been interrupted | Removal of moderate debris will moderately increase natural conditions | Stagnant water pools may cause significant accumulation of undesirable aquatic species. | Removal of moderate debris will moderately increase flowage and recreate natural conditions |
| Small animals | Loss of large cavity trees as cover and source of food | Removal of suppress ional debris will significantly increase escape cover by increasing understory growth and development of seed sources | Slow regeneration | Removal of suppressional debris will significantly increase enhancement and development of seed sources in an efficient period of time. |
| Other | | | | |
| Human | Entanglement of large trees, tree tops, and tree root balls. | Safety factor of children will be significantly increased due to adjoining home sites | Safety issue | Safety factor of children will be significantly increased due to adjoining home sites |
| Aesthetics | Entanglement of unsightly clutter of tops, logs, and root balls | Significantly increase through removal of trees and debris | Cause more unsightly conditions through accelerated growth of vines and brambles | Significantly increase through removal of trees and debris |

DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u>

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

| Resource | Existing Condition | E Special Environmen | Alternatives and Effects | 2 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Consideration | Existing Condition | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| Consideration | CWA jurisdiction-poor | CWA permit required- | Poor water quality | CWA permit required- |
| Clean Water Act | water quality | improve water quality and | 1 oor water quarty | improve water quality and |
| Waters of the U.S. | | restore hydrology (Water | | restore hydrology (Water |
| | 37/4 | Quality Cert.) | 37/4 | Quality Cert.) |
| Coastal Zone | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Management Areas | | | | |
| Coral Reefs | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) None |
| Cultural Resources | observed on site | observed on site | observed on site | observed on site |
| | | | | |
| | (FOTG) | (FOTG) | (FOTG) | (FOTG) |
| Endangered and | Federal/State list | Federal/State list | Federal/State list | Federal/State list |
| Threatened Species | none observed on | none observed on | none observed on | none observed on |
| | site | site | site | site |
| Environmental | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Justice | | | | |
| Essential Fish | (FOTG) No EFH | (FOTG) No EFH | (FOTG) No EFH | (FOTG) No EFH |
| Habitat | | | | |
| | No drainage | LDWF will be | No drainage | LDWF will be |
| Fish and Wildlife | modification | consulted | modification | consulted |
| Coordination | required; therefore, | | required; therefore, | |
| | consultation not | | consultation not | |
| | required for debris | | required for debris | |
| | removal | | removal | |
| Floodplain | 100-year floodplain | 100-year floodplain | 100-year floodplain | 100-year floodplain |
| Management | function is impaired | function is restored | function is impaired | function is restored |
| 1/1mmagement | Chinese tallow | Creation of openings | Chinese tallow trees, | Creation of openings |
| | trees, yaupon,and | may enhance spread | yaupon, and | may enhance spread |
| Invasive Species | Japanese privet | of undesirables but | Japanese privet | of undesirables but |
| invasive species | along drainage | will increase control | along drainage | will increase control |
| | system | opportunities | system | opportunities |
| | Food and cover | Food and cover | Food and cover | Food and cover |
| Migratory Birds | quality reduced | quality improved | quality reduced | quality improved |
| Wilgiatory Diras | (FOTG) none | (FOTG) none | (FOTG) none | (FOTG) none |
| Natural Areas | present | present | present | present |
| | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) None | (FOTG) none |
| Prime and Unique Farmlands | , , | · · · | , , | ` ′ |
| raillianus | Area impacted by | A rea improved | Area impacted by | A rea improved |
| | Area impacted by | Area improved | Area impacted by | Area improved |
| Dinamian A | flooding due to moderate debris | through debris | flooding due to moderate debris | through debris |
| Riparian Areas | | removal | | removal |
| | accumulation | D (1 . 1 | accumulation | D (1 1 |
| C D | Impaired by | Restored when | Impaired by | Restored when |
| Scenic Beauty | moderate amount of | debris is removed | moderate amount of | debris is removed |
| | debris | D 1 0111 | debris | D 1 6111 |
| | Debris will cause | Removal of debris | Debris will cause | Removal of debris |
| *** | increased flooding | will improve | increased flooding | will improve |
| Wetlands | impacting wetland | wetland function | impacting wetland | wetland function |
| | functions and | and protect upland | functions and | and protect upland |
| | degrading existing | vegetation from | degrading existing | vegetation from |
| | vegetation | flooding | vegetation | flooding |
| Wild and Scenic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Rivers | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Completed By: | Rick Adams | _ Date: | March 22, 2006 | |
|---------------|------------|---------|----------------|--|
| | | | | |

DSR NO: <u>DSR019-05-078R</u>

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

| | Future Damages (\$) | Damage Factor (%) | Near Term Damage Reduction |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Properties Protected (Private) | | | |
| Houses 1 | \$25,696 | .2 | \$5139 |
| Barns -2 | \$14,420 | .2 | \$2,884 |
| Mobile Home Park-20 Mobile Homes | \$494,014 | .2 | \$98,802 |
| Properties Protected (Public) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Business Losses | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Other | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Near Term Damage | | | \$106,825 |
| <u> </u> | Positive econom | ic effect of project | \$ |

Completed By: __H McDaniel_____ Date: 3-23-06

DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u> __

Section 2G Social Consideration

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

| | YES | NO | Remarks |
|--|-----|----|--|
| Has there been a loss of life as a result of | | X | |
| the watershed impairment? | | | |
| Is there the potential for loss of life | | X | |
| due to damages from the watershed | | | |
| impairment? | | | |
| Has access to a hospital or medical facility | | X | |
| been impaired by watershed impairment? | | | |
| Has the community as a whole been | X | | Experienced power outages and will cause flooding in some of the |
| adversely impacted by the watershed | | | effected area |
| impairment (life and property ceases to | | | |
| operate in a normal capacity) | | | |
| Is there a lack or has there been a | X | | Potential flooding of mobile homes and house and prolonged |
| reduction of public safety due to watershed | | | standing water presents future public safety |
| impairment? | | | |

| Completed By: H McDaniel | Date: 3-23-06 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Completed by. If McDamer | Date. 5-25-00 |

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

| Group Representation | | Number | |
|--|-----|--------|----|
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic | | | |
| Asian Female Hispanic | | | |
| Asian Female Non-Hispanic | 3 | 11.93% | |
| Asian Male Hispanic | | | |
| Asian Male Non-Hispanic | 1 | 11.93% | |
| Black or African American Female Hispanic | | | |
| Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic | 14 | 11.93% | 2 |
| Black or African American Male Hispanic | | | |
| Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic | 10 | 11.93% | 1 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic | | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic | | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic | | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic | | | |
| White Female Hispanic | 3 | 11.93% | |
| White Female Non-Hispanic | 232 | 11.93% | 28 |
| White Male Hispanic | 5 | 11.93% | 1 |
| White Male Non-Hispanic | 239 | 11.93% | 28 |
| Total Group | 507 | 11.93% | 61 |

Total of 507 people in 176 Households=507 divided by 176 =2.88 people per household. There are 21 households in the effected area =21 divided by 176 total households =11.93% of the total population.

| Census tract(s) 2 | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| | | | |
| Completed By: | H McDaniel | Date: | 3-23-06 |

DSR NO: 019-05-078R

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Sponsor will secure all easements and permission to access work areas along drainage system on private lands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 4 04 permit will be required where applicable.

CWA and water quality certification by be required for grubbing of stumps.

Mitigation Description:

Work will be performed from one side of the channel only as described in engineering report.

Debris will be disposed in an approved land fill site.

Removal or chipping debris from drainage system will improve water quality.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
LDWF for consultation purposes

DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u> _

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application

(see instructions on page 10).

| Priority Ranking Criteria | Yes | No | | Ranking Number Plus Modifier |
|---|-----|----|----------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Is this an exigency situation? | | X | | |
| 2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life? | | X | | |
| 3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened? | X | | | 3-d,e |
| 4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief? | | X | | |
| The following are modifiers for the above criteria | | | Modifier | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat? | | | | |
| b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places? | | | | |
| c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland? | | | | |
| d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands? | | | Y | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions? | | | Y | |
| f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats? | | | | |

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

| D | 1 | | |
|----------|-------|----|--|
| Rem | ark | c. | |
| IXCII | ıaı n | о. | |

DSR NO: <u>019-05-078R</u> __


Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):


| | and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special dinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated |
|---|---|
| Chapter 5.2.2.1.2 Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter | yzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply) of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. NRCS State Office on this date: |
| NRCS representative of the DSR team | |
| Title: | Date: |
| Section 5B Comments: | |
| Section 5C | Sponsor Concurrence: |
| Sponsor Representative | |
| Title: | Date: |
| Section 6 Attachments: A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches C. Other (explain) | |

SECTION 6

ATTACHMENTS



SITE MAP DSR 019-05-078R HG-1



30°22'0"N

30°22'6"N


30.22.12.N

30*22*18"N


30.55.54.N

TOPO MAP DSR 019-05-078R HG-1

Calcasieu Parish



Debris Removal




Note: Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Bridge which includes underside of bridge **Exception:** All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Bridge Crossing Not to Scale

Notice: 48 Hours Before Digging Call 1-800-272-3020

Debris Removal




Note: Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Culverts which includes inside of culverts **Exception:** All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Culvert type Crossing Not to Scale

Notice:

48 Hours Before Digging Call 1-800-272-3020

Debris Removal



Typical Section Not to Scale

Notice: 48 Hours Before Digging Call 1-800-272-3020

*Note: Access and work from south side only, except in locations where structures do not permit as concurred in by the COTR

Exception it may be possible that trees which were located outside of the tree removal limits may have fallen into the removal limits, the entire tree will be removed back to the root ball even if only a portion of the tree is withinthe removal limits

Channel Obstruction Evaluation

| SITE | INFORMATION | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Parish: Calcasieu | Site: Holl | and Gully, HG-1 | |
| City: Moss Bluff | | | |
| Sponsor: GDD # 8, Ward # 1 | Reach: | HG-1A to HG-1B | |
| Date: 3/22/06 | | HG-1C to HG-1F | |
| Evaluation Team: McDaniel, Adams, & Garner | | | |

| PHOTO NU | IMBERS AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION | WAYPOINTS (CIRCLE location and record in Decimal Degrees) | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Photo # | Description | Start Work (D/S and) | 30.37060725, -93.19848488 | | |
| 71 | Heavy Debris | Midstream | 30.37000725, -93.19040400 | | |
| 73 | Heavy Debris | The second secon | 30.36799368, -93.19318718 | | |
| 67 | Above 96 ST TANK | Elia VVoik (O/S elia) | 30.30799300, -93.19310710 | | |

| No. 10 The Control of | EARBY AND UPSTR (Fill in Numbers, V | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|--------------------------|----------|---|---|
| CHURCHES | SCHOO |)LS | PUBLIC FA | CILITIES | 5 | |
| No. of Churches | No. of Schools | | No. of Public Facilities | | | |
| HOMESITE | S | | BUSINESSES | | | |
| No. of Homesites | | No. of Bus | sinesses | | | |
| Average Value of Homes (X \$1,000) | | Size of Bu | sinesses | S | М | L |

| STREAM CROSSINGS (CIRCLE type and write material, size and length) | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| TYPE | MATERIAL | NUMBER, SIZE, & LENGTH | | |
| Bridge | | | | |
| Culverts | Steel Tank | 2, 96-Inch, 15 ft | | |
| Other or None | | | | |

| D/S |
|-----|
| |
| D/S |
| D/S |
| - |

| | CHANNEL CHAR (CHECK appropriate box for slope a | | FLOW | | |
|---|--|--------------------|------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| | SLOPES | DIMENSIONS | | | Is Water Flowing? |
| | 1.5 : 1 or steeper | Top Width (ft.) | 50 | YES | (NO) |
| Х | 1.5 : 1 through 3 : 1 Slope | Bottom Width (ft.) | 14 | ls debris | accumulating? (i.e. Leaves, Trash) |
| | Flatter than 3:1 | Depth (ft.) | 1 | (YES) | NO |

| | | (CHECK the b | | MENT OF PI led, and CIRCLE | | oris that applies) | |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| DEBRIS | IN ACROSS CHANNEL CHANNEL | | s | SIZE OF DEBRIS | | BLOCKAGE % of X-Section Obstructed: | |
| Pine Trees | Х | X | | | | Less than 25% | 26%-50% |
| Hardwoods | Х | X | (Light) | Moderate | Heavy | 51%-75% | 76%-100% |
| Shrubs | | | | | | 3170-7370 | 7070-10070 |

| | (CHECK the | box that best applies) |
|---|--|---|
| | Within Channel Floating Equipment (i.e. Barge or | Marsh Buggy) |
| | Within Channel Non - Floating Equipment (Excava | tor/Track-hoe, Spider, etc) |
| Χ | From Top Banks | |
| A | CCESS TO SITE | (Explain access issues and possible difficulties) |